

Committee: Governance & Audit

Date: 7<sup>th</sup> November 2017

Subject: Review of Strategic Risks (Nov 2017)				
Report by:	Director of Resources: Ian Knowles			
Contact Officer:	James O'Shaughnessy Business Improvement & Corporate Governance Team Manager 01427 676537			
Purpose / Summary:	To present Members with the strategic risks facing the Council as at November 2017			

**RECOMMENDATION(S):** To note and review the strategic risks as presented.

#### IMPLICATIONS

Legal: None

Financial: None FIN/MT/45/18

Staffing: None

Equality and Diversity including Human Rights: None

**Risk Assessment: None** 

Climate Related Risks and Opportunities: None

Title and Location of any Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

Call in and Urgency:

Is the decision one which Rule 14.7 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules apply?

i.e. is the report exempt from being called in due to urgency (in consultation with C&I chairman)	Yes	No	x	
Key Decision:				
A matter which affects two or more wards, or has significant financial implications	Yes	No	x	

### 1 Introduction

1.1 Strategic Risks are considered as being those faced by the Council that if materialised, would affect the delivery of corporate plan priorities.

1.2 Governance and Audit Committee review the strategic risks on a sixmonthly basis.

# 2 Monitoring Arrangements

2.1 The strategic risks are presented to the Council's Management Team on a quarterly basis for review.

2.2 The Management Team are requested to review the risks, control measures and future actions to ensure that they remain sufficiently robust to mitigate the identified risks.

2.3 Where corrective action is required and/or additional risks are identified, the strategic risk register is updated accordingly.

# 3 Identification of Strategic Risks and Population of Risk Register

3.1 Following the production of the Council's new Corporate Plan covering the period 2016-2020 and the revision of the Council's Risk Strategy, work was undertaken to assess the risks to the achievement of the Corporate Plan priorities and to identify current mitigations and/or further required action to strengthen the mitigating position.

3.2 This work produced a strategic risk register based upon the following priorities:

- Open for Business
- Asset Management
- People First
- Partnerships/Devolution
- Local Plan
- Excellent Value for Money Services

3.3 A number of additional risks were identified which focus on elements that underpin our workings e.g. compliance and business continuity.

3.4 This approach reflects the guidance provided by the Association of Local Authority Risk Managers (ALARM). This body advocates that strategic risks should focus on the long-term objectives of the organisation, which can be affected by areas such as financial concerns, political risks, legal and regulatory changes and changes in the physical environment.

# 4. Risk Matrix

4.1 To assess the severity of potential risks, the Council uses the following matrix based on the relationship between the likelihood and impact of risks arising.

I	Critical	4	8	12	16
m	Major	3	6	9	12
р	Minor	2	4	6	8
а	Negligible	1	2	3	4
С		Hardly Ever	Possible	Probable	Almost Certain
t	Likelihood				

4.2 The following guidance is available to determine which classification is applied:

You should assign a number in the range 1-4 as follows:					
Likelihood:					
1 = Hardly Ever (<5%)					
2= Possible (5-35%)					
3= Probable (35-75%)					
4= Almost Certain (>75%)					
1 = Negligible Impact:	2 = Minor Impact				
<ul> <li>Minor service disruption</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Service disruption</li> </ul>				
Minor Injury	<ul> <li>Loss time injury</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Financial loss &lt; £250k</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Financial loss &gt;£250k -</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Isolated complaints</li> </ul>	£500k				
	Adverse local media				
	coverage				
	Failure to achieve a service				
	plan objective				
3 = Major Impact	4 = Critical				
Significant service disruption	<ul> <li>Total service loss for a</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Major/disabling injury</li> </ul>	significant period				
<ul> <li>Financial loss &gt;£500k - £1m</li> </ul>	Fatality to employee, service				
Adverse national media	user or other				
coverage	<ul> <li>Financial loss &gt;£1m</li> </ul>				
Failure to achieve Corporate	Ministerial intervention in				
Plan objective	running service				

4.2 This methodology enables each risk to be categorised as either low, medium or high in nature and prioritisation as regards mitigations can be applied.

### 5. Management Team Review

5.1 Since the formation of the risk register based on the methodology set out above, progress has been made against the delivery of the Corporate Plan, specifically in respect of the creation and adoption of the Central Lincolnshire Local Plan. This work has been completed with arrangements now in place to monitor delivery. Hence reference to this matter has been removed from the strategic risk register.

5.2 Reference was previously made to Devolution (and Partnerships) within the risk register. Members will be aware that work to progress this concept across Lincolnshire has terminated and consequently reference has been removed from the register.

5.3 Additionally, since the register was last presented to Members, the Chief Executive has left the Authority. Risks for which this officer was assigned as owner have been redistributed across the remaining members of the Management Team.

#### 6. Risk Management Audit

6.1To remind Members, Infernal Audit have recently completed an audit into the Council's risk management arrangements. This report provided a 'substantial assurance' rating.

#### 7. Recommendation

7.1 Members are asked to review the register and to consider:

- Do any additional risks of a strategic nature exist?
- Are current controls and proposed actions sufficiently robust?